

May 4, 1989

LB 330, 769

SENATOR LINDSAY: The couple of concerns, I think is the health, first of all, is a very broad term, that what constitutes a serious risk to health can just about open up, open it up to anything. Number two, I think if we are talking about that type of situation where there are serious problems of some immediacy, there is going...I think general consent statutes require that parents be notified of the health dangers anyway, so I think the parent is going to be involved regardless.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, this...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time. Senator Chambers, your light is on next, if you would like to continue the discussion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. Senator Lindsay, what you are talking about is something entirely different. We are talking about abortion which by law has been set off into a separate category by itself, different from all other medical procedures, and the U.S. Supreme Court has done so. Now you have voted this session, because I've watched you, although I didn't raise the issue at that time, on bills where it can be a crime to threaten serious harm to somebody, which is broader than this and you voted for that and that could be a crime. Why could you vote for that, but you can't vote for this? LB 330 will give you an example where we are talking about the type...

SENATOR LINDSAY: Pardon me.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: LB 330 was one that we passed this morning and you voted for it all the way across the board, the protective orders where the threat of serious injury to somebody.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yeah.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And we don't know what that means.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Well, that is not what this statute does though. This says health, if you want to put serious injury, that is different.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, no...

SENATOR LINDSAY: (Interruption) ...health can be a broad range